

BPM-HRI: Best Practices and Methods in HRI Research

Patrick Holthaus

University of Hertfordshire
Hatfield, United Kingdom
p.holthaus@herts.ac.uk

Daniel Hernández García

Heriot-Watt University
Edinburgh, United Kingdom
d.hernandez_garcia@hw.ac.uk

Patricia Shaw

Aberyswyth University
Aberystwyth, United Kingdom
phs@aber.ac.uk

Francesco Del Ductetto

University of Lincoln
Lincoln, United Kingdom
fdelductetto@lincoln.ac.uk

Marta Romeo

Heriot-Watt University
Edinburgh, United Kingdom
m.romeo@hw.ac.uk

Muneeb Ahmad

Swansea University
Swansea, United Kingdom
m.i.ahmad@swansea.ac.uk

Daniel Tozadore

University College London
London, United Kingdom
d.tozadore@ucl.ac.uk

Shelly Bagchi

National Institute of Standards and
Technology
Gaithersburg, USA
shelly.bagchi@nist.gov

Abstract

The quality and impact of human-robot interaction (HRI) research rely on scientific rigour and reproducibility as well as the ethical soundness of experiments involving human participants. However, the HRI community currently lacks easy access to resources and common knowledge on experimental design practices and standardised reporting guidelines. The *BPM-HRI: Best practices and methods in HRI research* workshop at HRI 2026 aims to address this gap by fostering a community-wide effort to discuss, disseminate, and develop more robust methodologies. Targeting to empower especially early-career researchers, this half-day workshop will consolidate efforts from international initiatives, including the IEEE Standards Group P3108 *Recommended Practice for Design of Human Subjects Studies in Human-Robot Interaction* and the UK-HRI topic group *Human-Robot Interaction: Best Practices and Methods*. Our program includes a presentation and discussion on these initiatives, a keynote address focusing on rigorous study reporting, providing an intercontinental perspective, and dedicated mentoring and ideas exchange sessions. A collaborative working session will document the workshop's efforts with attendees starting to draft a community-driven white paper, surveying the current landscape and outlining next steps for experimental design and reporting recommendations.

CCS Concepts

• **Human-centered computing** → **HCI design and evaluation methods; Interaction design theory, concepts and paradigms.**

Keywords

standards, best practices and methods, mentoring and networking

ACM Reference Format:

Patrick Holthaus, Daniel Hernández García, Patricia Shaw, Francesco Del Ductetto, Marta Romeo, Muneeb Ahmad, Daniel Tozadore, and Shelly Bagchi. 2026. BPM-HRI: Best Practices and Methods in HRI Research. In *Companion Proceedings of the 21st ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI Companion '26)*, March 16–19, 2026, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3776734.3788823>

1 Motivation

Current challenges in sectors involving HRI (e.g. health care & assistive technologies, sustainability & transport, retail & service) require technology co-development and end-user involvement, which are currently underutilised in robotics research. Standardised methodologies for co-creating and evaluating interactive robotic systems can close this gap and provide more impactful and reproducible insights [7] beyond those based solely on experimental psychology [5]. Best practices for study suitability and design, metrics for evaluation and their impacts, statistical tools for understanding and reporting the significance of results have been identified as being particularly beneficial for relatively new researchers, enabling findings that benefit early adopters and empower society as a whole.

In comparison to some other scientific disciplines, HRI research still lacks commonly available resources to support researchers in designing statistically sound, rigorous and repeatable experiments with human subjects. While there are ongoing discussions [3, 4, 6, 8, 13] and recent attempts at standardising interactive experiments [1, 9, 10], members of the HRI community are not commonly aware of such efforts and would greatly benefit from a consolidated community effort to discuss and disseminate best practices in our field, complementing literature and text books [2, 14].

2 Organisers

The organising committee consists of a diverse team at different seniority levels, providing ample experience in event organisation, hosting workshops at HRI and related conferences, best practice development, and supporting early-career researchers.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
HRI Companion '26, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK
© 2026 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-2321-6/2026/03
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3776734.3788823>

Patrick Holthaus is a Reader in Interactive Assistive Technology at the University of Hertfordshire. His research revolves around social robotics, focusing on nonverbal communicative signals, social credibility, and trust in assistive technology and companion robots. He leads the UK-RAS topic group on *Human-Robot Interaction: Best practices and methods* and is Co-Investigator of the Horizon Europe project *SWAG* and *Hospital at home* funded by the Dinwoodie Charitable Company. Patrick was a co-organiser of nine international conferences in the area of HRI, co-organised 16 international workshops and eight special sessions. He co-chairs an IEEE P3108 subgroup on the design of HRI studies.

Daniel Hernández García is a Research Fellow in Human-Robot Interaction at the National Robotarium. His research lies at the intersection of HRI and AI, with a focus on developing socially aware robots with the capacity for cognitive interactions. He is Co-Investigator in the UK-RAS topic group on *Human-Robot Interaction: Best practices and methods*. Daniel has been a co-organiser of 3 international conferences in the areas of HRI and conversational agents, co-organised 8 international workshops and 2 special sessions. He is secretary of the IEEE P3108 standards group, *Recommended Practice for Design of Human Subjects Studies in Human-Robot Interaction*.

Patricia Shaw is a Senior Lecturer in Computer Science and Robotics and a Co-Investigator in the UK-RAS topic group. Her research focuses on the development of assistive living technology for the ageing population. In particular, recent work has focused on stakeholder development of an ambient sensor based system for monitoring activities of daily living around the home with easily accessible systems for different stakeholders. She has previously coordinated the UK-RAS PGR conference and assisted with the organisation of various international conferences and workshops.

Francesco Del Duchetto is a Lecturer in Robotics and Autonomous Systems. His work focuses on creating trustworthy autonomous robots that can learn from unstructured human feedback and behave ethically, enabling their use in public spaces like museums or agricultural farms. He supports the efforts to increase reproducibility and benchmarking of robotics research via competitions, such as involvement in the RoboCup@HOME league and co-organisation of the PUB.R challenge at ICRA 2023.

Marta Romeo is an Assistant Professor in Computer Science. Her current research focuses on investigating how trust between humans and robots can be built, maintained, and recovered. She is interested in safety, transparency and communicating robots' intentions in a multimodal way. She has organised many workshops on these topics at HRI and ROMAN conferences, and she has been involved in editorial roles for HRI and HCI conferences and for IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems.

Muneeb Imtiaz Ahmad is an Associate Professor in Computer Science. His current research focuses on adaptive HRI, exploring how robots can recognise and respond to human perceptions of trust and fairness during collaborative tasks, advancing real-time computational metrics that interpret humans' trust and fairness expectations and enable adaptive, context-sensitive behaviour. He has organised several workshops on the topics in HRI at ROMAN and CUI conferences and has been involved in editorial roles for HRI and HCI conferences.

Daniel Tozadore is a Lecturer (Teaching) in Robotics and AI at University College London. His current research focuses on deep

learning techniques for user modelling, decision-making, and dialogue in HRI. The applications of these methods are most prominent in education, particularly for explainable AI for teachers and large language model (LLM) approaches to multicultural integration. He has experience running workshops at the HRI and RO-MAN conferences and has also contributed to editorial work for Frontiers in Robotics and AI in the HRI section.

Shelly Bagchi has been a robotics researcher at the US National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) since 2016. Shelly is the lead on the Performance of Emerging Technology for Robotics Project (PETR) at NIST. Her research interests are in HRI, replicability & reproducibility, and augmented reality. Her focus is on evaluating new interface technologies for HRI in manufacturing. She chairs the IEEE Standards Group P3108, *Recommended Practice for Design of Human Subjects Studies in Human-Robot Interaction*.

3 Overview of the workshop

BPM-HRI aims to consolidate efforts in disseminating and further developing recommended practices and methods in the field of HRI, with a focus on supporting early-career researchers to adopt such practices and develop their professional network in the community. The workshop is in full alignment with the conference theme *empowering society* by giving early career researchers the necessary knowledge and network to thrive in the field. Through this, we hope to strengthen the field of HRI, leading to more robust and reliable systems in the future.

Our half-day workshop will consist of two parts. At first, we present the efforts of [IEEE P3108](#) and [UK-HRI](#), two international initiatives facilitating recommended practices in the HRI community, disseminating them, and enabling early career researchers to get access to supporting resources and guidance. The workshop will further feature a keynote by Katie Seaborn (Institute of Science Tokyo/University of Cambridge), whose research drives forward best practices in HCI and HRI, involving initiatives around standardising efforts and study reporting [11, 12], and providing insights on how the academic landscape, research culture, and COVID lockdowns can influence conducting rigorous research in Japan.

The first part will be followed by a series of interactive sessions. We will hold a mentoring and ideas exchange fed by questions sent by participants via an expression of interest form on our website before the workshop, complemented by a working session to identify potential mentoring arrangements, expanding on the merits of the topic group activities, to translate the discussions during the workshop into workable mentoring relationships. Moreover, we invite interested participants to start drafting and contributing to a white paper that strategically addresses individual aspects of human-subject studies and informs the research community about these recommendations.

The efforts of this HRI 2026 workshop will continue, with in-person meetings already planned and infrastructure for networking in place. Deriving a clear structure and plans for contributions during the workshop, the white paper will continue to be developed afterwards, asynchronously and in follow-up meetings, further drawing on expertise from around the world to support the development of best practices in the future. Assuming an afternoon workshop, including a coffee break, our schedule is as follows:

Time	Topic	Speaker(s)
13:00	Welcome & introduction	Organisers
13:15	P3108 and UK-HRI activities	Organisers
14:15	Keynote speaker presentation	Katie Seaborn
14:45	Coffee break	
15:00	Best practices and methods	Panel session
15:30	Mentoring and ideas exchange	Working session
16:15	White paper development	Working session
17:00	Wrapping up	Organisers

4 Target audience or prerequisites

This workshop aims to foster strong and lasting relationships in the HRI research community for the support and benefit of early-career researchers. We hope to bring together veterans, novices, as well as external stakeholders in the field to share their experiences and the practices they follow.

5 Room equipment needed

This workshop requires a standard seminar room, ideally with reconfigurable tables, to facilitate frontal talks, panel discussions, and interactive working sessions. No special equipment is needed.

6 Number of expected participants

While this workshop is not organised as part of a series, it is part of a larger effort by the HRI community to enhance the reproducibility, replicability, and stability of HRI research. We build upon previous experience gained in related workshops at the 2025 HRI [16] and RO-MAN [15] conferences, attracting around 30 participants each.

We expect to host similar numbers of participants (25+) from targeted interest groups in all sub-categories of HRI research, including early-career researchers, experienced members of our community and veterans in the field. However, the workshop is not restricted in participant numbers since working sessions can be held as group activities with members of the organising team acting as facilitators.

7 Approach for recruiting participants

Various routes will be used to promote the workshop and recruit participants. We will use existing mailing lists reaching an international audience (e.g. HRI-announce, EU-robotics, robotics-worldwide), workshop proposers' LinkedIn posts, provide an expression of interest form on our webpage¹, and advertise through the international network of 150+ researchers engaged in IEEE P3108 and UK-HRI, asking them to reach out to their colleagues and local peers.

8 Plan for documenting the workshop

The workshop aims to develop, support and promote best practices with the HRI community. This will be documented through the production of a white paper supported by the UK-RAS topic group on *Human-Robot Interaction: Best practices and methods*, involving contributions from workshop attendees and internationally recognised experts in the field. The white paper will specifically draw on the discussions and conclusions reached throughout the workshop.

Key outcomes of the workshop will be made available on the workshop website¹, and we will continue engagement with the community via follow-up events and a dedicated discussion forum.

¹<https://www.uk-hri.org/events/bpm-hri-2026>

Acknowledgments

This workshop is kindly supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) via the Network+ project UK Robotics and Autonomous Systems (UK-RAS), ref. EP/Y010523/1.

References

- [1] Shelly Bagchi, Patrick Holthaus, Gloria Beraldo, Emmanuel Senf, Daniel Hernández García, Zhao Han, Suresh Kumaar Jayaraman, Alessandra Rossi, Connor Esterwood, Antonio Andriella, and Paul Pridham. 2023. Towards Improved Replicability of Human Studies in Human-Robot Interaction: Recommendations for Formalized Reporting. In *Companion of the 2023 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*. ACM/IEEE, 629–633.
- [2] Christoph Bartneck, Tony Belpaeme, Friederike Eysel, Takayuki Kanda, Merel Keijsers, and Selma Šabanović. 2024. *Human-Robot Interaction: An Introduction* (2nd illustrated edition ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Paul Baxter, James Kennedy, Emmanuel Senf, Severin Lemaignan, and Tony Belpaeme. 2016. From characterising three years of HRI to methodology and reporting recommendations. In *2016 11th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*. ACM/IEEE, 391–398.
- [4] Julia R Cordero, Thomas R Groechel, and Maja J Mataric. 2022. A Review and Recommendations on Reporting Recruitment and Compensation Information in HRI Research Papers. In *2022 31st IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN)*. IEEE, 1627–1633.
- [5] Kerstin Dautenhahn. 2018. Some Brief Thoughts on the Past and Future of Human-Robot Interaction. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction* 7, 1 (2018), 1–3.
- [6] Kerstin Fischer. 2021. Effect Confirmed, Patient Dead: A Commentary on Hoffman & Zhao's Primer for Conducting Experiments in HRI. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction* 10, 1 (2021), 1–4.
- [7] Hatice Gunes, Frank Broz, Chris S. Crawford, Astrid Rosenthal-von der Pütten, Megan Strait, and Laurel Riek. 2022. Reproducibility in Human-Robot Interaction: Furthering the Science of HRI. *Current Robotics Reports* 3, 4 (2022), 281–292.
- [8] Guy Hoffman and Xuan Zhao. 2020. A Primer for Conducting Experiments in Human-Robot Interaction. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction* 10, 1 (2020), 1–31.
- [9] Patrick Holthaus, Alessandra Rossi, Sneesh Shrestha, Wing-Yue Geoffrey Louie, Aysegul Ucar, Daniel Hernández García, Frank Foerster, Antonio Andriella, and Shelly Bagchi. 2025. Would HRI Conferences Benefit From More Formal Reporting? Evaluating a Novel Study Reporting Form. In *2025 34th IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN)*. IEEE, 2192–2198.
- [10] IEEE Standards Association. 2021. *IEEE myProject P3108 PAR Details*. Retrieved 9 Jan 2025 from <https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject-web/public/view.html#pardetail/9314>
- [11] Katja Rogers and Katie Seaborn. 2023. The Systematic Review-lution: A Manifesto to Promote Rigour and Inclusivity in Research Synthesis. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '23)*. ACM, 1–11.
- [12] Katie Seaborn and Rua Mae Williams. 2025. Levelling Up the Literature: How Games Can Inspire Academic Reporting. In *Companion Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play (CHI PLAY Companion '25)*. ACM, 236–238.
- [13] Johanna Seibt, Christina Vestergaard, and Malene F Damholdt. 2021. The Complexity of Human Social Interactions Calls for Mixed Methods in HRI: Comment on 'A Primer for Conducting Experiments in Human-robot Interaction' by G. Hoffman and X. Zhao. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction* 10, 1 (2021), 1–4.
- [14] Leimin Tian, Tina L. Y. Wu, Nicole L. Robinson, Pamela Carreno-Medrano, Wesley P. Chan, Maram Sakr, Elahe Abdi, Elizabeth A. Croft, and Dana Kulić. 2025. *Experimental Methodology for Human-Robot Interaction: Guidelines and Case Studies for Human-Centred and Ethical Robotics Research*. CRC Press.
- [15] Cristina G. Wilson, Patrick Holthaus, Naomi Fitter, Alessandra Rossi, Bill Smart, Daniel Hernández García, Ross Mead, Daniel Tozadore, Sneesh Shrestha, Antonio Andriella, and Wing-Yue Geoffrey Louie. [n. d.]. *Best Practices for Enabling Reproducible & Replicable Studies in Human-Robot Interaction*. Retrieved 9 Jan 2025 from <https://sites.google.com/oregonstate.edu/ro-man2025workshopbestpractice>
- [16] Megan Zimmerman, Ann Virts, Shelly Bagchi, Sneesh Shrestha, Patrick Holthaus, Emmanuel Senf, Daniel Hernández García, and Jeremy A. Marvel. 2025. The Road to Reliable Robots: Interpretable, Accessible, and Reproducible Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) Research. In *Proceedings of the 2025 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI '25)*. IEEE, 1997–1999.

Received 2025-10-10; accepted 2025-11-21